UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/572,580 | 03/20/2006 | Koujirou Tanaka | 286785US6PCT | 2855 |
| 22850 7590 06/21/2011 OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT, L.L.P. 1940 DUKE STREET | | | EXAMINER | |
| | | | SHIBRU, HELEN | |
| ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 2484 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 06/21/2011 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| Notice of Panel Decision |
|---------------------------------|
| from Pre-Appeal Brief |
| Review |

| Application/Control No. | Applicant(s)/Patent under Reexamination | |
|-------------------------|--|--|
| 10/572,580 | TANAKA, KOUJIROU | |
| | Art Unit | |
| Helen Shibru | 2484 | |
| | | |

Part of Paper No. 20110616

| This is in response to the Pre-Appeal | Brief Request for Review filed | 4/25/2011. |
|---|---|---|
| Improper Request – The Freason(s): | Request is improper and a confe | erence will not be held for the following |
| ☐ The request does not incl | s not been filed concurrent with flude reasons why a review is ap is included with the Pre-Appeal | propriate. |
| The time period for filing a respor the mail date of the last Office co | | ceipt date of the Notice of Appeal or from peal has been received. |
| held. The application remains und is required to submit an appeal be brief will be reset to be one month running from the receipt of the no | der appeal because there is at I rief in accordance with 37 CFR in from mailing this decision, or totice of appeal, whichever is grest CFR 1.136 based upon the market. | – A Pre-Appeal Brief conference has been east one actual issue for appeal. Applicant 41.37. The time period for filing an appeal he balance of the two-month time period ater. Further, the time period for filing of the nail date of this decision or the receipt date |
| The panel has determine Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-11. Claim(s) withdrawn from cor | | as follows: |
| | | e rejection is withdrawn and a Notice of sed. No further action is required by |
| 4. ☐ Reopen Prosecution – A cation will be mailed. No further a | | rejection is withdrawn and a new Office It this time. |
| All participants: | | |
| (1) <u>Helen Shibru</u> . | (3) <u>Peter</u> | -Anthony Pappas. |
| (2) <u>Thai Tran</u> . | (4) | <u>-</u> · |
| /Thai Tran/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2484 | /Helen Shibru/ Patent Examiner, Art Unit 248 | /Peter-Anthony Pappas/ 4 Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2481 |